

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/082,490	10/082,490 02/22/2002		Warren E. Cory	X-1054 US	3728
24309	7590	02/28/2006		EXAMINER	
XILINX,		4 D CD 4 CD 1 CD	AGHDAM, FRESHTEH N		
ATTN: LE 2100 LOG		ARTMENT		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE	, CA 951	124	2631		
				DATE MAILED: 02/28/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/082,490	CORY, WARREN E.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Freshteh N. Aghdam	2631		

Dototo that thing of an opposit Direct	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Freshteh N. Aghdam	2631	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date or 	n the same day as filing a Notice o owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evid compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS	A second of the	£ 20 4 b 4	h
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	onsideration and/or search (see NO		because
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		1' A - A	LADTOL 204)
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		ompliant Amendmen	(PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		, timely filed amendn	nent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4 and 9-12. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. \$	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be see the attachment.			ance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)13. ☐ Other:	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/17/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's Argument: Regarding claims 1-4 and 9-12, applicant argues that the claimed invention in the independent claim 1 is not taught or suggested by Lippett (US 6,667,993) and further in view of Cotton (US 5,870,441) "a plurality of first level transceivers that perform channel bonding operations, each first level transceivers being controlled by the master transceiver; and a plurality of second level transceivers that perform channel bonding operations, each second level transceiver being controlled by one of the plurality of the first level transceivers.

Examiner's Response: With regards to the arguments of claim 1, Lippett teaches a system for coordinating channel bonding operations of a plurality of transceivers (Col. 2, Lines 23-28) comprising: a master transceiver that performs channel bonding operations for aligning data (Fig. 5, means 400(0)); a plurality of first level transceivers that perform channel bonding operations, each first level transceivers being controlled by the master transceiver (Fig. 5, means 400(1)-400(n)); and a plurality of second level transceivers that perform channel bonding operations (Fig. 8, means 700(1)-700(n); Col. 4, Lines 12-16; Col. 5, Lines 1-8; Col. 9, Lines 43-54). Lippett is

Art Unit: 2631

silent about each second level transceiver being controlled by one of the plurality of first level transceivers. Cotton teaches each of the plurality of transceivers can be selected as either the master transceiver, one of the first level transceivers or one of the second level transceivers since the master buffer can be switched to a slave buffer and vice versa (Col. 2, Lines 56-67; Col. 3, Lines 1-7). As the result, one of ordinary skill in the art would clearly recognize using the teaching of Cotton to control the second level transceivers by one of the plurality of the first level transceivers.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Freshteh N. Aghdam whose telephone number is (571) 272-6037. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9:00-5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on (571) 272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/082,490 Page 4

Art Unit: 2631

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Freshteh Aghdam February 27, 2006 KEVIN BURD PRIMARY EXAMINER